REPORTS OF CASES

ARGUED AND DETERMINED

S.H. 1827.

IN THE

High Court of Chancery,

AND OF

SOME SPECIAL CASES ADJUDGED

IN THE

Court of King's Beneh:

COLLECTED BY

WILLIAM PEERE WILLIAMS,

LATE OF GRAY'S INN, ESQ.

PUBLISHED, WITH NOTES, REFERENCES, AND TABLES OF THE NA OF THE CASES, AND OF THE PRINCIPAL MATTERS,

BY HIS SON,

WILLIAM PEERE WILLIAMS, of the inner temple, esq.

EDITED (IN 1787 AND 1793) WITH ADDITIONAL REFERENCES TO THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE COURT, AND TO LATER CASES,

By SAMUEL COMPTON COX.

OF LINCOLN'S INN, ESQ.

NOW ONE OF THE MASTERS OF THE COURT OF CHANCERY.

THE SIXTH EDITION.

WITH REFERENCES TO THE MODERN CASES,
BY JOHN BOSCAWEN MONRO, WILLIAM LOFTUS LOWNDES,
AND
JAMES RANDALL.

OF LINCOLN'S INN, ESQRS. BARRISTERS AT LAW.

IN THREE VOLUMES.
VOL. I.

LONDON:

JOSEPH BUTTERWORTH AND SON, 43, FLEET STREET.

CASE 152.

METHAM versus DUKE OF DEVON.

LORD CHAN-CELLOR PARKER. 2 Eq. Ca. Ab. 291. pl. 13. 331. pl. 5. One devises 3000L to all THE late Earl of Devonshire devised three thousand pounds to all the natural children of his son the late Duke of Devonshire by Mrs. Heneage; and the question was, whether the natural children by Mrs. Heneage born after the will should take a share of the three thousand pounds?

the natural children of his son by Jane Stile, the bastards born after making the will shall not take; nay the child in ventre sa mere shall not take. (z)

Lord Chancellor: They shall not; the Earl of Devonshire could never intend that his son should go on in this course, that would be to encourage it; whereas it was enough to pardon what was passed; besides bastards cannot take (a) until they have gained a name by reputation, for which reason, though I give to the issue of J. S. legitimate or illegitimate, yet a bastard shall not take.

And though in the principal case the money was to be paid by the executors, as the testator by deed should appoint; and the testator afterwards

But then it was said, the directions of the will were, for the executors to pay this 3000l. as the Earl the testator should by deed appoint, and the Earl afterwards by deed appointed the 3000l. to all the children of his son (the duke) by Mrs. Heneage, so that this now depended upon the deed, and therefore must refer to the children born at the time of the execution thereof.

made the deed of appointment; the deed of appointment referring to the will was held as part of the will. (v)

(z) A bequest to a future natural child is void, Arnold v. Preston, 18 Ves. 288. Wilkinson v. Adam, 1 V. & B. 422. So to the child of which an unmarried woman is ensient, when with reference to a particular man as the father, from the impossibility of ascertaining whether it is, or is not, the child of the man referred to, Earle v. Wilson, 17 Ves. 528. Secus, to the child of which she is ensient generally, for there is then no uncertainty, Gordon v. Gordon, 1 Mer. 141. Under a bequest to children as a elass, legitimate children alone can take, unless it appear on the face of the will that illegitimate children were intended, Cartwright v. Vawdry, 5 Ves. 530. Godfrey v. Davis, 6 Ves. 43. Wilkinson v. Adam, ub. sup. Swaine v. Kennerley, 1 V. & B. 469. Kenebel v.

Scrafton, 2 East, 530.; (and see Vanderzee v. Acklom, 4 Ves. 771. Hercy v. Birch, 9 Ves. 357.) or the bequest be to children in existence at the date of the will, as, "to my present children," and the testator had no legitimate children to satisfy the bequest, Beachcroft v. Beachcroft, 1 Mad. 430. Woodhouselee v. Dalrymple, 2 Mer. 419. And see Bayley v. Snelham, 1 S. & S. 78.

(y) An instrument though in form of a deed, will be construed as testamentary, when it can only take effect after the maker's death, and cannot operate as a deed, Rigden v. Vallier, 2 Vez. 258. Habergham v. Vincent, 4 Bro. C. C. 353. 2 Ves. jun. 204. And see Healy v. Copley, 7 Toml. P. C. 496.

Tamen per Cur': The deed (1) referring to the will is as to this purpose, to be taken as part thereof.

METHAM .. DUKEOF DEVONSHIRE.

Also it being a question, whether a natural child in ventre sa mere, of the Duke of Devonshire by Mrs. Heneage should

Lord Parker inclined that such child could not take for the reason abovementioned, viz. for that a bastard could not take, until he had got a reputation of being such a one's child; and that reputation could not be gained before the child was born.

- (1) Reg. Lib. B. 1718. fol. 215. "His "lordship conceived the said deed poll " to be part of the will of the said late "earl of Devon, in the nature of a co-"dicil thereunto, explanatory of the " will, and that the money thereby di-
- " rected to be paid was a provision for " such children or reputed children of "the said duke by Mrs. Heneage, as " were living at the time of the date of "the said deed poll, but not for such " children as he afterwards had by her."

BABINGTON versus GREENWOOD.

CASE 153.

A Freeman of London on his marriage covenanted to add LORD CHAN-1500l. out of his own personal estate to 1500l. which was the portion of his then intended wife, and both these sums were to be laid out in a purchase of land and to be settled 2 Eq. Ca. Ab. upon the husband for life, and then to the wife for her life 721. pl. 5.

Jointure by a for her jointure, and in bar of her dower, with remainder to freeman on his the children of the marriage.

CELLOR PARKER.

Pre. Cba. 505. wife in bar of dower, will not

bar the wife's customary part; seems if said to be in bar of her customary part.

The freeman makes his will, and thereby (among other things) gives a legacy to his wife, and dies leaving a wife and children.

[531]

Upon a demand made by the wife of her customary part, it was objected by Mr. Mead, that though a jointure of land made by a freeman on his wife in bar of dower, should not bar the wife's customary part, any more than it would bar her of her share by the statute of distribution, (as in the case of (1) Atkins v. Waterson, where the Court of Aldermen by the Recorder certified they had no custom extending to that case;) yet where the jointure was to be made out of the free-

This (PDF) case report was prepared by, and is the copyright of, Deed Poll Office. You are free to use this report for non-commercial purposes, so long as you do not modify this (PDF) document and you keep every part of the report (including this notice) intact.

Find more cases like this at:

https://deedpolloffice.com/change-name/law/case-law https://deedpolloffice.com/change-name/children/case-law

